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Abstract — In this paper, we present a collection of software educational tools for introducing speech and audio compression (or coding) techniques to undergraduate and graduate students. These speech processing tools enable online simulations of speech compression algorithms that are being used in digital cellular phones, internet streaming applications, teleconferencing, and voice over internet protocol (VoIP) applications. This simulation software is accompanied by a series of computer experiments and exercises that can be used to provide hands-on training to class participants. With this on-line simulation tool and a set of well-complemented laboratory exercises, students can easily comprehend the basic techniques involved in speech and audio coding algorithms. Specific functions that have been developed include the typical modules that are usually embedded in CD-players, MP3-players, and mobile phones. Details on the software, exercises, and assessment data will be provided at the conference.

Index Terms — Web course, Java speech tools, J-DSP, LPC, ADPCM.

INTRODUCTION

Teaching DSP concepts at the undergraduate level requires extensive reference to motivating applications as well as hands-on computer experiences. Select algorithms in speech and audio compression represent such motivating examples because of their association with appealing applications such as cellular telephones, MP3 players, and surround sound DVD and cinema systems. In addition, using speech signals for hands-on DSP experiments is convenient on a PC and provides several possibilities for visualization of important signal properties. Speech coding is primarily concerned with obtaining compact representations of speech for efficient transmission and/or storage [1], while, audio coding deals with the efficient digital representation of high-fidelity wideband audio signals [2]. Exposure to both of these application areas can help students gain intuition in practical implementation of several DSP concepts. For example, coverage of some aspects of speech coding can help students learn how digital filters are used in speech modeling. Furthermore, students can get exposed to examples of spectral representations of speech by visualizing spectra of speech and frequency responses of filters representing the vocal tract. Interactive lessons in speech coding not only contain valuable examples of DSP algorithms but can also broaden student knowledge through exposition to a variety of international compression standards. Despite the fact that certain topics in speech coding could be covered only by static web content, some important aspects of speech processing are best communicated through the use of computer simulation tools. In this paper, we describe a series of speech coding simulation tools that we have developed using the JDSP [4] simulation environment. JDSP is a GUI-driven on-line simulation environment that enables a student to study and verify through graphics various aspects of speech processing and coding. The J-DSP speech coding functions developed exploit the graphical interface and allow the user to simulate complex speech coding algorithms by simply forming graphically simple block diagrams. The software tools are accompanied by exercises that are accessible by undergraduate students participating in digital signal processing (DSP) courses and computer science multimedia courses [3]. The tools described in this paper represent a significant extension of the J-DSP software tools, presented earlier by Spanias, et al, [4]-[6].

This paper is organized as follows. First, the simulation environment and the various speech coding functionalities implemented are presented. Then, we provide a high-level description of speech compression techniques with some example simulations for an LPC vocoder. Several concepts related to PCM, DPCM, ADPCM quantization techniques receive in-depth treatment. We review the methodologies that involve the LPC filter-parameter-transformations with laboratory exercises. With the help of a simulation model and an exercise, we describe the VQ, and RELP coding. This paper concludes with a discussion of on-line simulation exercises and applications.

SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT

A brief introduction to the simulation environment and the associated GUI follows. Figure 1 shows the J-DSP graphical user interface and the simulation tool’s editor window. From this figure, it can be seen that all functions appear as graphical blocks. Each block is associated with a specific signal processing function. A variety of DSP systems can be simulated by connecting the blocks, and editing their parameters through dialog windows. System execution is dynamic, which means that any change at any point of a simulation will automatically take effect in all related blocks. For more detailed description on the block manipulation and the program infrastructure refer to [6].
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This process is calledspeech synthesis. Most of the well-known speech coding standards are based onlinear prediction—a process that characterizes the shape of the speech spectrum with a small number of parameters that can be coded efficiently.

LPC predicts a time-domain speech sample by a linear combination of previous samples. This is given by,

\[ s_{\text{pred}}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} a_k \cdot s(n-k) \]  

(1)

where \( s_{\text{pred}}(n) \) is the predicted value of speech sample based on the previous \( L \) samples of the input speech \( s(n) \), and \( a_k \) are the prediction coefficients or weights. The prediction coefficients are obtained by minimizing the prediction error or residual. This is given by,

\[ e(n) = s(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{L} a_k \cdot s(n-k) \]  

(2)

The LP analysis filter generates parameters for an all-pole filter that models the vocal tract. The filter transfer function is given by,

\[ A(z) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{L} a_k \cdot z^{-k} \]  

(3)

and the LP synthesis filter is given by, \( 1/A(z) \).

The process of extracting model parameters from the speech signal is calledspeech analysis. Depending on the vocoder type, these parameters usually include: filter coefficients, excitation, pitch, gain, etc, as shown in Figure 2. At the decoder, these parameters are used to reconstruct the speech by filtering the excitation signal with the LP synthesis filter.

Several laboratory exercises have been developed to expose the students to the concepts of LPC parameter transformations, perceptual weighting, open-loop and closed-loop pitch estimation, etc. In particular, more emphasis has been given on the following: linear predictive coding of speech and its applications in current cellular standards, PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM quantization, VQ and its usage in the design of codebooks, and filtering of audio signals with a Quadrature Mirror Filter (QMF) bank.

A typical scenario is for a student to read a high-level tutorial on each of these methods and then form and execute simulations with a real-life signal and visualize and evaluate the compression process. The exercises that have been outlined in this paper as well as additional ones not described here are given to students in detailed write-ups. These include a theory section and step-by-step instructions.
for operating the software and analyzing the intended results. The students perform the experiments and respond to questions in a quiz section. They then have to submit a typed report that describes the results obtained along with several relevant figures and graphs that are ported from the simulation environment. In the following sections, we present some of the speech compression topics that we cover using J-DSP simulations and online exercises that the students perform using J-DSP.

**PCM, DPCM, AND ADPCM**

Typical applications of PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM are in telephony, VoIP, data streaming applications, etc. Basic concepts such as filtering, quantization, and digitizing are usually introduced in a senior-level undergraduate class. An introduction to these concepts with the help of a GUI-simulation and an exercise, from the perspective of speech compression, will help the students understand the concepts better and quicker.

The steps associated with PCM are similar to any digitization scheme: First, the speech is digitized and the samples are converted to binary-digits (bits). These bits are represented by pulses that encode the sample amplitudes, and therefore, the name pulse-code modulation. PCM is one of the simplest forms of encoding and is usually considered as a reference for evaluating the performance of other speech coders.

**Figure 3**  
ADPCM TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER SIMULATION

DPCM and ADPCM are differential encoding techniques, in which, rather than encoding the input waveform directly, we code the difference between the original waveform and one reconstructed from using a predictions process. If the prediction filter is fixed, we have **DPCM coding**. An adaptive prediction filter results in **ADPCM coding**. Figure 3 shows an underlying model of the ADPCM simulated with J-DSP. In this figure, $A(z)$ is the prediction filter, the **ADPCM** block acts as an ADPCM transmitter and the **filter** block can be viewed as the receiver.

**Example Simulation – 1**

A simulation that compares the performance of PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM techniques is shown in Figure 4. The parameters used for the simulation are as follows. The number of quantization bits, $n = 3$, a male speaker input, the number of frames is 32, and the frame size is 256.

**Figure 4**  
COMPARISON OF THE PCM, THE DPCM, AND THE ADPCM

Exercises were designed to highlight some of the salient features of PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM techniques, i.e.,

- A 6dB improvement in the SNR for every 1 bit increase in the number of quantization bits, when the input signal is a random stationary signal with uniform distribution. The same example can be run for input signals with Gaussian random distribution.
- Effects of uniform and non-uniform quantization on the perceptual quality of speech.
- The underlying concepts of ADPCM coding from the dialog window shown in Figure 3.
- Computation of segmental and overall SNR values between the unquantized signal $s(n)$, and the quantized signal $s_q(n)$.
- Improvement in SNR values for the DPCM and the ADPCM coding over PCM, for the same number of bits. For example, in the simulation shown in Figure 4, SNR values obtained for the PCM, the DPCM, and the ADPCM coding are 2.34dB, 4.76dB, and 5.52dB respectively.
• ADPCM coding based on the following three cases: fixed prediction filter and adaptive quantization, adaptive prediction filter and uniform quantization, and adaptive prediction filter and adaptive quantization.

Another experiment that can help students gain insight to the coding concepts is to quantize the prediction filter coefficients instead of the residual, and evaluate the coder performance.

**LPC Vocoder**

A brief description of speech spectra, speech synthesis, and vocal tract parameterization using all-pole filters are provided in the earlier sections. Being one of the first parametric coders, LPC is present in most of the speech coding standards. In this section, with the help of a simulation, we explain how these concepts are used in cell phones. The idea is to parameterize a speech signal as shown in Figure 2. An example of this parameterization that demonstrates clearly how data compression is accomplished using LPC is as follows: 256 samples (32ms) of speech can be represented with a 10th order all-pole filter and 3-4 additional parameters that specify the excitation (pitch, voicing, gain). Hence the information needed to represent the speech in one 32ms frame is reduced considerably, and thereby reduces the bit rate.

**Example Simulation – 2 (Linear Prediction)**

Figure 5 shows an example simulation of the LPC vocoder. In the figure, the **Sig.Gen(L)** block provides some elementary framing capabilities, the **LPC** block computes the residual \(e(n)\) and the LP coefficients \(a_i\). The **filter** block reconstructs the speech by filtering the residual \(e(n)\) with the LP synthesis filter \(1/A(z)\). The synthesis is done on a frame-by-frame basis, and in the end the frames are concatenated to produce a new speech record that we can then listen and evaluate, using a **Snd.Player** block. Both analytical and experimental questions have been drafted to introduce the students to the following concepts:

• The relationship between the LPC and FFT spectra,
• Measuring the pitch period, the voicing, and the first three formant frequencies of successive speech frames,
• The time- and frequency-domain plots of the voiced and unvoiced speech frames,
• Objective measures such as the segmental and over-all SNR values, and cepstral distances.
• Effects of the bandwidth expansion on the LP coefficients,
• The plots of the residual signal and their corresponding dB-spectra for a voiced and an unvoiced frame, and,
• The pitch contour, the voicing, and the frame energy plots as a function of frame number, and analyze their relationship.

**Example Simulation – 3 (LPC Transformations)**

Direct-quantization of the LP coefficients results in a poor perceptual quality of the reconstructed speech, and at the same time the LP coefficients are highly sensitive to quantization errors. Therefore, the LP coefficients are converted to reflection coefficients (RCs), log-area-ratios.
(LARs), or line spectral pairs (LSPs) and then quantized. These parametric representations are more suitable for encoding/transmission due to their improved quantization and interpolation properties.

Figure 7 shows a sample simulation that explains the quantization properties of the LP coefficients and RCs. From this figure, students can observe that the LPC spectrum could change considerably after quantization of the LP parameters. Moreover, the LPC spectrum with quantized RCs results in a better performance relative to quantization of direct form coefficients. This is evident from the plot at the bottom of Figure 7. As part of an exercise problem, the students are asked to prepare a table with perceptual quality of the reconstructed speech on a scale of 1 to 5 (1=very poor, 2=poor, 3=good, 4=very good, 5=excellent) for the following cases: direct-quantization of LP coefficients, quantization of RCs and LSPs. This process also exposes the students to the process of estimating the subjective quality of speech using the Mean Opinion Score (MOS). In addition, more advanced computer exercises have been developed that can be used in a speech and audio processing graduate course [7]. Some of them are mentioned below.

- The concepts of pre-processing, perceptually weighted filtering, short-term and long-term predictors.
- Significance of the symmetric and asymmetric windows in the LP analysis, and how the windowing of autocorrelation coefficients improves the estimates of LP coefficients.
- The z-plane plots of LPCs and LSFs, and to experiment why the roots of the sum and the difference polynomials of LSFs alternate on the unit circle.
- Importance of the bandwidth expansion of the autocorrelation coefficients, overlapping of frames, etc.

Speech compression via vector quantization (VQ) is achieved by encoding a set of analysis parameters in vector form. In addition to speech coding applications, VQ has found several applications in pattern recognition, speech recognition, image processing, etc. In speech coding, typical parameters usually encoded using VQ are: LP coefficients, pitch, gain, voicing decision, excitation, etc. For example, the tenth-order LP filter coefficients obtained from the LP analysis can be vector quantized using a 10-dimensional VQ. The vector quantization block in J-DSP uses the Linde, Buzo and Gray (LBG) algorithm to design codebooks. Figure 9 shows the dialog window of the vector quantizer block, and the various options provided. These include viewing the designed codebook vector, mean-squared error (MSE) curve, etc. The codebooks can be designed based on training vectors generated with in the VQ block, or obtained from a different block. The Codebook lengths supported are, \( c = 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \) and 128. The training vector lengths
The exercises designed based on the J-DSP simulation tool, may be used by instructors in a class setting to demonstrate key signal processing concepts associated with the processing of speech in digital cellular phones and other applications.
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